



# BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK

---

Faber Group NV

PUBLICATION DATE: JULY 2015

this report covers the evaluation period 01-01-2014 to 31-12-2014

## ABOUT THE BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK

Fair Wear Foundation believes that improving conditions for apparel factory workers requires change at many levels. Traditional efforts to improve conditions focus primarily on the factory. FWF, however, believes that the management decisions of clothing brands have an enormous influence for good or ill on factory conditions.

FWF's Brand Performance Check is a tool to evaluate and report on the activities of FWF's affiliate members. The Checks examine how affiliate management systems support FWF's Code of Labour Practices. They evaluate the parts of affiliate supply chains where clothing is assembled. This is the most labour intensive part of garment supply chains, and where brands can have the most influence over working conditions.

In most apparel supply chains, clothing brands do not own factories, and most factories work for many different brands. This means that in most cases FWF affiliates have influence, but not direct control, over working conditions. As a result, the Brand Performance Checks focus primarily on verifying the efforts of affiliates. Outcomes at the factory level are assessed via audits and complaint reports, however the complexity of the supply chains means that even the best efforts of FWF affiliates cannot guarantee results.

Even if outcomes at the factory level cannot be guaranteed, the importance of good management practices by affiliates cannot be understated. Even one concerned customer at a factory can have significant positive impacts on a range of issues like health and safety conditions or freedom of association. And if one customer at a factory can demonstrate that improvements are possible, other customers no longer have an excuse not to act. The development and sharing of these types of best practices has long been a core part of FWF's work.

The Brand Performance Check system is designed to accommodate the range of structures and strengths that different companies have, and reflects the different ways that brands can support better working conditions.

This report is based on interviews with affiliate employees who play important roles in the management of supply chains, and a variety of documentation sources, financial records, supplier data. The findings from the Brand Performance Check are summarized and published at [www.fairwear.org](http://www.fairwear.org). The online [Brand Performance Check Guide](#) provides more information about the indicators.

## BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK OVERVIEW

Faber Group NV

Evaluation Period: 01-01-2014 to 31-12-2014

AFFILIATE INFORMATION	
Headquarters:	Amsterdam, Netherlands
Member since:	15-06-2014
Product types:	Promotional
Production in countries where FWF is active:	Romania
Production in other countries:	Poland, Thailand
BASIC REQUIREMENTS	
Workplan and projected production location data for upcoming year have been submitted?	Yes
Actual production location data for evaluation period was submitted?	Yes
Membership fee has been paid?	No
All suppliers have been notified of FWF membership?	Yes
SCORING OVERVIEW	
% of own production under monitoring	98%
Benchmarking score	70
Category	Good

## Summary:

Faber Flags meets most of FWF's management system requirements and goes beyond some of them. The purchasing practices of Faber Flags enables the company to work effectively on improvements of working conditions. The company has strong invested in their relations with two of their own suppliers in Thailand and Poland. This allows Faber Flags to closely track all aspects of production and provides the company a clear insight in to the production planning. Given the close relationships and the fact that Faber Flags staff visits the factories several times a year and has almost daily contact with their two factories, the status of working conditions is closely monitored. Faber Flags meets the requirements for monitoring suppliers in low risk countries. FWF recommends to keep track on the working conditions in the factory in Romania, where a relatively small percentage is produced. With the audits conducted by FWF at the factory in Thailand, the company reaches a monitoring threshold of 98% which is above the required threshold of 40% for affiliates who are in their first year of membership and already above the 90% required threshold within three years of membership. Several improvements have been realised after the audit in Thailand. FWF recommends Faber Flags to take further steps with regards to establishing worker committees at their supplier in Thailand.

## PERFORMANCE CATEGORY OVERVIEW

**Leader:** This category is for affiliates who are doing exceptionally well, and are operating at an advanced level. Leaders show best practices in complex areas such as living wages and freedom of association.

**Good:** It is FWF's belief that affiliates who are making a serious effort to implement the Code of Labour Practices—the vast majority of FWF affiliates—are 'doing good' and deserve to be recognized as such. They are also doing more than the average clothing company, and have allowed their internal processes to be examined and publicly reported on by an independent NGO. The majority of affiliates will receive a 'Good' rating.

**Needs Improvement:** Affiliates are most likely to find themselves in this category when major unexpected problems have arisen, or if they are unable or unwilling to seriously work towards CoLP implementation. Affiliates may be in this category for one year only after which they should either move up to Good, or will be moved to suspended.

**Suspended:** Affiliates who either fail to meet one of the Basic Requirements, have had major internal changes which means membership must be put on hold for a maximum of one year, or have been in Needs Improvement for more than one year. Affiliates may remain in this category for one year maximum, after which termination proceedings will come into force.

Categories are calculated based on a combination of benchmarking score and the percentage of own production under monitoring. The specific requirements for each category are outlined in the Brand Performance Check Guide.

## 1. PURCHASING PRACTICES

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.1 Percentage of production volume from suppliers where affiliate buys at least 10% of production capacity	98%	Affiliates with less than 10% of a factories' production capacity generally have limited influence on factory managers to make changes.	Supplier information provided by affiliate.	4	4	0

Comment: Faber Flags owns two out of the three suppliers where they produce their products. They have almost daily contact with two of their factories. This enables the company to work effectively on improvements of working conditions.

1.2 Percentage of production volume from suppliers where a business relationship has existed for at least five years	100%	Stable business relationships support most aspects of the Code of Labour Practices, and give factories a reason to invest in improving working conditions.	Supplier information provided by affiliate.	4	4	0
--	------	--	---	---	---	---

Comment: Faber Flags maintains a long term business relationship of over five years with all their suppliers. Their two own factories are integrated in the Faber Flags group, which creates an incentive to invest in working conditions improvements.

1.3 All new suppliers are required to sign and return the Code of Labour Practices before first orders are placed.	No new suppliers	The CoLP is the foundation of all work between factories and brands, and the first step in developing a commitment to improvements.	Signed CoLPs are on file.	N/A	2	0
--	------------------	---	---------------------------	-----	---	---

1.4 Company conducts human rights due diligence at all new suppliers before placing orders.	No new suppliers	Due diligence helps to identify, prevent and mitigate potential human rights problems at new suppliers.	Documentation may include pre-audits, existing audits, other types of risk assessments.	N/A	4	0
---	------------------	---	---	-----	---	---

1.5 Supplier compliance with Code of Labour Practices is evaluated in a systematic manner.	Yes	A systemic approach is required to integrate social compliance into normal business processes, and supports good decisionmaking.	Documentation of systemic approach: rating systems, checklists, databases, etc.	1	2	0
--	-----	--	---	---	---	---

**Recommendation:** FWF recommends to include also the smaller supplier in Romania in the monitoring system and document improvements done.

**Comment:** The supplier base of Faber Flags is compact with a total number of three suppliers, which makes supplier evaluation relatively easy. Faber Flags does have daily contact with their two factories, audit findings and CAP follow up have been discussed with factory management. Factory management is open for external audits and is willing to implement improvements.

1.6 The affiliate's production planning systems support reasonable working hours.	Strong, integrated systems in place.	Affiliate production planning systems can have a significant impact on the levels of excessive overtime at factories.	Documentation of robust planning systems.	4	4	0
---	--------------------------------------	---	---	---	---	---

**Comment:** Production is planned in close cooperation with suppliers. Faber Flags has clear insight in production planning based on the real capacity of the factory. Factories are leading in the establishment of leadtimes.

Even though production is order-based with clients' deadlines, the company is still flexible to ease production pressure on its suppliers by shifting orders between their two factories.

1.7 Degree to which affiliate mitigates root causes of excessive overtime.	Intermediate efforts	Some production delays are outside of the control of affiliates; however there are a number of steps that can be taken to address production delays without resorting to excessive overtime.	Documentation of root cause analysis and positive steps taken to manage production delays or improve factory processes.	3	6	0
--	----------------------	--	---	---	---	---

**Comment:** To avoid excessive overtime, Faber Flags splits orders or replaces a part of the production to another supplier. Faber Flags has introduced night shifts at their supplier in Thailand to overcome excessive overtime and the shrinking production capacity. A new machine has been bought to increase the production capacity.

Whenever there are production delays, clients will be informed.

1.8 Affiliate's pricing policy allows for payment of at least the legal minimum wages in production countries.	Style-level policy	The first step towards ensuring the payment of minimum wages - and towards implementation of living wages - is to know the labour costs of garments.	Formal systems to calculate labour costs on per-product or country/city level.	4	4	0
--	--------------------	--	--	---	---	---

**Comment:** Their own suppliers are leading in establishing the pricing policy. Faber Flags does ensure that workers receive at least the minimum wage payments. Faber Flags mentioned that they had to increase workers wages in their factory in Thailand, to prevent workers of leaving their factory to work somewhere else. Faber Flags is aware of the labour costs per product. To keep the factory in Thailand up and running they are investigating efficiency options.

1.9 Affiliate actively responds if suppliers fail to pay legal minimum wages.	No minimum wage problems reported	If a supplier fails to pay minimum wage, FWF affiliates are expected to hold management of the supplier accountable for respecting local labour law.	Complaint reports, CAPs, additional emails, FWF audit reports or other documents that show minimum wage issue is reported/resolved.	2	2	-2
---	-----------------------------------	--	---	---	---	----

1.10 Evidence of late payments to suppliers by affiliate.	No	Late payments to suppliers can have a negative impact on factories and their ability to pay workers on time. Most garment workers have minimal savings, and even a brief delay in payments can cause serious problems.	Based on a complaint or audit report; review of factory and affiliate financial documents.	0	0	-1
---	----	--	--	---	---	----



1.11 Degree to which affiliate assesses root causes of wages lower than living wages with suppliers and takes steps towards the implementation of living wages.	Factory-level approach	Sustained progress towards living wages requires adjustments to affiliates' policies.	Documentation of policy assessments and/or concrete progress towards living wages.	4	8	0
---	------------------------	---	--	---	---	---

**Requirement:** Affiliate has to take adequate steps to move towards living wages as estimated by local stakeholders. Faber Flags is expected to take an active role in discussing living wages with its suppliers. The FWF wage ladder can be used as a tool to implement living wages. Most relevant wage estimates, such as local minimum wage, Asia Floor Wage, collective bargaining wage and industrial best practice wages are provided in the wage ladder. The wage ladder is included in FWF's audit reports. It demonstrates the gaps between workers' wages at a factory and living wages demanded by major stakeholders. The wage ladder can be used to document, monitor, negotiate and evaluate the improvements at its suppliers.

**Recommendation:** FWF encourages Faber Flags to assess the hypothetical cost effects of increasing wages towards benchmarks that are included in the wage ladder and discuss with suppliers about possibilities to work towards higher benchmarks. Faber Flags could proceed its efforts at their main suppliers.

**Comment:** Faber Flags has discussed the wage ladder with their factory in Thailand. Faber Flags has supported their supplier with consultancy on productivity improvements. It has not revised its overall pricing policy to move towards living wages.

1.12 Affiliate sources from an FWF factory member.	No	When possible, FWF encourages affiliates to source from FWF factory members. On account of the small number of factories this is a 'bonus' indicator. Extra points are possible, but the indicator will not negatively affect an affiliate's score.	Supplier information provided by affiliate.	N/A	1	0
--	----	---	---	-----	---	---

1.13 Percentage of production volume from factories owned by the affiliate.	98%	Owning a supplier increases the accountability and reduces the risk of unexpected CoLP violations. Given these advantages, this is a bonus indicator. Extra points are possible, but the indicator will not negatively affect an affiliate's score.	Supplier information provided by affiliate.	2	2	0
---	-----	---	---	---	---	---

Comment: Faber Flags owns two out of the three suppliers where they produce their products. These two suppliers are located in Thailand and Poland.

## PURCHASING PRACTICES

Possible Points: 36

Earned Points: 28

## 2. MONITORING AND REMEDIATION

BASIC MEASUREMENTS	RESULT	COMMENTS
% of own production under standard monitoring (excluding low-risk countries)	44%	
% of own production in low risk production countries where FWF's Low Risk policy has been implemented	53%	FWF low risk policy should be implemented. 0 = policy is not implemented correctly. N/A = no production in low risk countries.
Total of own production under monitoring	98%	Minimums: 1 year: 40%; 2 years 60%; 3 years+: 90% Measured as a percentage of turnover.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.1 Specific staff person is designated to follow up on problems identified by monitoring system	Yes	Followup is a serious part of FWF membership, and cannot be successfully managed on an ad-hoc basis.	Manuals, emails, etc., demonstrating who the designated staff person is.	2	2	-2

Comment: CEO is personally involved in the follow up on problems in the monitoring systems. All staff is informed about FWF membership.

2.2 Degree of progress towards resolution of existing Corrective Action Plans	Intermediate	FWF considers efforts to resolve CAPs to be one of the most important things that affiliates can do towards improving working conditions.	Documentation of remediation and followup actions taken by affiliate.	4	8	-2
---	--------------	---	---	---	---	----

**Recommendation:** Faber Flags could organise a WEP training at its supplier in Thailand to raise awareness of the basic labour and human rights which should be respected in the factory. Related to the WEP training a worker committee can be established which could encourage workers and factory management to resolve issues on factory level.

Faber Flags could work on a root cause analysis of overtime issues at their supplier in Thailand and meaningful efforts to resolve these issues.

Comment: Faber Flags has shown active CAP follow up, emails on CAP follow up actions are available.

2.3 Percentage of production volume from suppliers that have been visited by the affiliate in the past financial year	100%	Formal audits should be augmented by annual visits by affiliate staff or local representatives. They reinforce to factory managers that affiliates are serious about implementing the Code of Labour Practices.	Affiliates should document all factory visits with at least the date and name of the visitor.	4	4	0
---	------	---	---	---	---	---

Comment: Faber Flags has visited all their suppliers. The factory in Thailand has been visited twelve times a year, the factory in Poland six times and the factory in Romania has been visited at least once.

2.4 Existing audit reports from other sources are collected.	No existing reports/all audits by FWF or FWF affiliate	Existing reports form a basis for understanding the issues and strengths of a supplier, and reduces duplicative work.	Audit reports are on file; evidence of followup on prior CAPs. Reports of quality assessments.	N/A	3	0
--	--	---	--	-----	---	---

2.5 Audit Report and Corrective Action Plan (CAP) findings are shared with factory. Improvement timelines are established in a timely manner	Yes	2 part indicator: FWF audit reports were shared and discussed with suppliers within two months of audit receipt AND a reasonable time frame was specified for resolving findings.	Corrective Action Plans, emails; findings of followup audits; brand representative present during audit exit meeting, etc.	2	2	-1
--	-----	---	--	---	---	----

Comment: Proof was shown that corrective action plans are signed by the supplier. CAP of Thai factory are shown to be followed up. Faber Flags and Thai supplier have discussed CAP findings and there is support given to the supplier to improve.

2.6 High risk issues specific to the affiliate's supply chain are identified and addressed by the monitoring system.	Intermediate Capacity	Different countries and products have different risks associated with them; monitoring systems should be adapted to allow appropriate human rights due diligence for the specific risks in each affiliates' supply chain.	Documentation may take many forms; additional research, specific FWF project participation; extra monitoring activities, extra mitigation activities, etc.	3	6	0
--	-----------------------	---	--	---	---	---

**Recommendation:** Knowing the country specific risks facilitates the starting point for discussing this with suppliers. Affiliates can agree on additional commitments that are required to mitigate risks. The affiliate can provide additional measures for support and integrate that in the monitoring system.

Faber Flags could work on a root cause analysis of overtime issues at their supplier in Thailand and come up with meaningful efforts to resolve these issues.

**Comment:** Faber Flags staff is well aware about high risk issues in Thailand. Their supplier is located in Bangkok area, where wages are relative high compared to other regions within Thailand. Faber Flags recognises overtime issues at their supplier in Thailand.

2.6a High risk issues specific to Bangladesh are identified and adressed by the monitoring system and remediation activities.	Not sourcing in Bangladesh	Affiliates sourcing in Bangladesh should take additional action to address both building and fire safety and the prevention of violence against women.	Building, electrical and fire safety inspection reports, evidence of cooperation with other customers sourcing at the same factories (Accord signatories and/or FWF affiliates), etc.	N/A	3	0
---	----------------------------	--	---	-----	---	---

2.6b High risk issues specific to Myanmar are identified and addressed by the monitoring system and remediation activities.	Not sourcing in Myanmar	Myanmar is still in the process of establishing the legal and civil society infrastructure needed to ensure compliance with labour rights. Extra care must be taken when doing business in Myanmar.	Shared CAPs, Wage Ladders per factory.	N/A	3	0
---	-------------------------	---	--	-----	---	---

2.7 Affiliate cooperates with other customers in resolving corrective actions at shared suppliers	No CAPs active or no shared suppliers.	Cooperation between customers increases leverage and chances of successful outcomes. Cooperation also reduces the changes of a factory having to conduct multiple Corrective Action Plans about the same issue with multiple customers.	Shared CAPs, evidence of cooperation with other customers.	N/A	2	-1
---	--	---	--	-----	---	----

2.8 Monitoring requirements are fulfilled for production in low-risk countries	Yes	Low risk countries are determined by the presence and proper functioning of institutions which can guarantee compliance with basic standards.	Documentation of visits, notification of suppliers of FWF membership; posting of worker information sheets, completed questionnaires.	2	2	0
--	-----	---	---	---	---	---

Comment: Proof has been shown that FWF CoLP is posted in their factory in Poland and factory has been visited.

2.9 External brands resold by the affiliate who have completed and returned the external brand questionnaire. (% of external sales volume)	No external brands resold	FWF believes it is important for affiliates that have a retail/wholesale arm to at least know if the brands they resell are members of FWF or a similar organisation, and in which countries those brands produce goods.	Questionnaires are on file.	N/A	3	0
--	---------------------------	--	-----------------------------	-----	---	---

2.10 External brands resold by affiliates that are members of another credible initiative. (% of external sales volume)	No external brands resold	FWF believes affiliates who resell products should be rewarded for choosing to stock external brands who also take their supply chain responsibilities seriously.	External production data in FWF's information management system. Documentation of sales volumes of products made by FWF or FLA members.	N/A	3	0
---	---------------------------	---	---	-----	---	---

---

## MONITORING AND REMEDIATION

Possible Points: 24

Earned Points: 17

---

### 3. COMPLAINTS HANDLING

BASIC MEASUREMENTS	RESULT	COMMENTS
Number of worker complaints received since last check	0	At this point, FWF considers a high number of complaints as a positive indicator, as it shows that workers are aware of and making use of the complaints system.
Number of worker complaints in process of being resolved	0	
Number of worker complaints resolved since last check	0	

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.1 A specific employee has been designated to address worker complaints	Yes	Followup is a serious part of FWF membership, and cannot be successfully managed on an ad-hoc basis.	Manuals, emails, etc., demonstrating who the designated staff person is.	1	1	-1
3.2 System exists to check that the Worker Information Sheet is posted in factories	Yes	The Worker Information Sheet is a key first step in alerting workers to their rights.	Photos by company staff, audit reports, checklists from factory visits, etc.	2	2	0

**Requirement:** Faber Flags has to ensure that the worker information sheet has been posted at the supplier in Romania.

**Comment:** Proof has been shown that the worker information sheet is posted in Thailand and Poland. Faber Flags has sent the questionnaire and the FWF CoLP to their supplier in Romania, but hasn't received a completed questionnaire and proof of a posted CoLP back.



3.3 Percentage of FWF-audited factories where at least half of workers are aware of the FWF worker helpline.	0%	The FWF complaints procedure is a crucial element of verification. If factory-based complaint systems do not exist or do not work, the FWF worker helpline allows workers to ask questions about their rights and file complaints. Factory participation in the Workplace Education Programme also count towards this indicator.	Percentage of audited factories where at least 50% of interviewed workers indicate awareness of the FWF complaints mechanism + percentage of factories in WEP programme.	-2	4	-2
--	----	--	--	----	---	----

**Recommendation:** Faber Flags can stimulate its suppliers to participate in WEP trainings, to raise awareness about the existence and the functioning of FWF's worker hotline. In addition to sending the worker information sheet, affiliates can use the worker information cards available for download on FWF's website.

**Comment:** Audit conducted by FWF show workers are not aware of the FWF CoLP.

3.4 All complaints received from factory workers are addressed in accordance with the FWF Complaints Procedure	No complaints received	Providing access to remedy when problems arise is a key element of responsible supply chain management. Affiliate involvement is often essential to resolving issues.	Documentation that affiliate has completed all required steps in the complaints handling process.	N/A	6	-2
--	------------------------	---	---	-----	---	----

3.5 Cooperation with other customers in addressing worker complaints at shared suppliers	No complaints or cooperation not possible / necessary.	Because most factories supply several customers with products, involvement of other customers by the FWF affiliate can be critical in resolving a complaint at a supplier.	Documentation of joint efforts, e.g. emails, sharing of complaint data, etc.	N/A	2	-2
--	--	--	--	-----	---	----

---

## COMPLAINTS HANDLING

Possible Points: 7

Earned Points: 1

---

## 4. TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.1 All staff is made aware of FWF membership requirements	Yes	Preventing and remediating problems often requires the involvement of many different departments; making all staff aware of FWF membership requirements helps to support cross-departmental collaboration when needed.	Emails, trainings, presentation, newsletters, etc.	1	1	-1

Comment: All staff at Faber Flags is informed about FWF membership. FWF membership has been communicated in a newsletter, meetings and new staff members will be informed about FWF membership. Sales staff uses FWF membership in their communication towards customers.

4.2 Ongoing training in support of FWF requirements is provided to staff in direct contact with suppliers.	Yes	Sourcing, purchasing and CSR staff at a minimum should possess the knowledge necessary to implement FWF requirements and advocate for change within their organisations.	FWF Seminars or equivalent trainings provided; presentations, curricula, etc.	2	2	0
--	-----	--	---	---	---	---

Comment: Staff in direct contact with suppliers are all well informed about FWF membership. Person which maintains direct contact with factory has participated in FWF's affiliate seminar.

4.3 All sourcing contractors/agents are informed about FWF's Code of Labour Practices.	Affiliate does not use agents	Agents have the potential to either support or disrupt CoLP implementation. It is the responsibility of affiliate to ensure agents actively support the implementation of the CoLP.	Correspondence with agents, trainings for agents, FWF audit findings.	N/A	2	-2
--	-------------------------------	---	---	-----	---	----

4.4 Factory participation in Workplace Education Programme (where WEP is offered; by production volume)	No production in WEP areas	Lack of knowledge and skills on best practices related to labour standards is a common issue in factories. Good quality training of workers and managers is a key step towards sustainable improvements.	Documentation of relevant trainings; participation in Workplace Education Programme.	N/A	6	0
---	----------------------------	--	--	-----	---	---

4.5 Factory participation in trainings (where WEP is not offered; by production volume)	0%	In areas where the Workplace Education Programme is not yet offered, affiliates may arrange trainings on their own or work with other training-partners. Trainings must meet FWF quality standards to receive credit for this indicator.	Curricula, other documentation of training content, participation and outcomes.	0	4	0
---	----	--	---	---	---	---

Recommendation: FWF recommends to plan a training for factory management and workers to increase worker awareness of internal grievance mechanism/ FWF hotline and added value of worker committees. Starting with a training at their supplier in Thailand.

---

## TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING

Possible Points: 7

Earned Points: 3

---

## 5. INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
5.1 Level of effort to identify all production locations	Advanced	Any improvements to supply chains require affiliates to first know all of their production locations.	Supplier information provided by affiliate. Financial records of previous financial year. Documented efforts by affiliate to update supplier information from its monitoring activities.	6	6	-2

Comment: Given the small number of suppliers and the frequent visits to Thailand and Poland, Faber Flags has complete information of the locations their products are made.

5.2 A system exists to allow purchasing, CSR and other relevant staff to share information with each other about working conditions at suppliers	Yes	CSR, purchasing and other staff who interact with suppliers need to be able to share information in order to establish a coherent and effective strategy for improvements.	Internal information system; status CAPs, reports of meetings of purchasing/CSR; systematic way of storing information.	1	1	-1
--	-----	--	---	---	---	----

Comment: CEO and person in direct contact with factory are well informed about working conditions at suppliers and information is easily shared among other staff within the company.

---

## INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

Possible Points: 7

Earned Points: 7

---

## 6. TRANSPARENCY

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
6.1 Communication about FWF membership adheres to the FWF communications policy	Yes	FWF membership should be communicated in a clear and accurate manner. FWF guidelines are designed to prevent misleading claims.	Logo is placed on website; other communications in line with policy. Affiliates may lose points if there is evidence that they did not comply with the communications policy.	1	1	-2

Comment: Faber Flags adequately communicates about FWF membership on their website; it includes a clear description and the FWF logo.

6.2 Affiliate engages in advanced reporting activities	No	Good reporting by members helps to ensure the transparency of FWF's work and shares best practices with the industry.	Affiliate publishes one or more of the following on their website: Brand Performance Check, Audit Reports, Supplier List.	0	1	0
--	----	---	---	---	---	---

Recommendation: FWF recommends Faber Flags to publish one or more of the following reports on its website: Brand performance check, Audit Reports, suppliers register, social report. Good reporting by members helps to ensure the transparency of the affiliate and FWF's work.

6.3 Social Report is submitted to FWF and is published on affiliate's website	Published on affiliate's website	The Social Report is an important tool for affiliates to transparently share their efforts with stakeholders.	Report adheres to FWF guidelines for Social Report content.	2	2	-2
---	----------------------------------	---	---	---	---	----

---

## TRANSPARENCY

Possible Points: 4

Earned Points: 3

---



## 7. EVALUATION

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
7.1 Systemic annual evaluation of FWF membership is conducted with involvement of top management	Yes	An annual evaluation involving top management ensures that FWF policies are integrated into the structure of the company.	Meeting minutes, verbal reporting, Powerpoints, etc.	2	2	0

Recommendation: FWF membership has been discussed within the board of Faber Flags. Whenever there are relevant updates regarding FWF membership, these are shared with the board.

7.2 Changes from previous Brand Performance Check implemented by affiliate	No requirements were included in previous Check	In each Brand Performance Check report, FWF may include requirements for changes to management practices. Progress on achieving these requirements is an important part of FWF membership and its process approach.	Affiliate should show documentation related to the specific requirements made in the previous Brand Performance Check.	N/A	4	-2
--	---	---	--	-----	---	----

## EVALUATION

Possible Points: 2

Earned Points: 2

## RECOMMENDATIONS TO FWF

CEO of Faber Flags would like to be present at the exit meeting of the next audit in Thailand. Faber Flags would like to receive guidance of FWF in organising a WEP training at their Thai factory.

## SCORING OVERVIEW

CATEGORY	EARNED	POSSIBLE
Purchasing Practices	28	36
Monitoring and Remediation	17	24
Complaints Handling	1	7
Training and Capacity Building	3	7
Information Management	7	7
Transparency	3	4
Evaluation	2	2
Totals:	61	87

BENCHMARKING SCORE (EARNED POINTS DIVIDED BY POSSIBLE POINTS)

70

PERFORMANCE BENCHMARKING CATEGORY

Good

## BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK DETAILS

Date of Brand Performance Check:

13-05-2015

Conducted by:

Rosan van Wolveren and Margreet Vrieling

Interviews with:

Martin Koppelaar, Mark Faber, Huib Faber

Audit Summary:

Publication of the audit summary section previously included in Brand Performance Checks has been suspended while Fair Wear Foundation develops a new information system to manage and summarize the data.