

## BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK

# Schöffel Sportbekleidung GmbH

PUBLICATION DATE: JULY 2014

this report covers the evaluation period 01-01-2013 to 31-12-2013

#### ABOUT THE BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK

Fair Wear Foundation believes that improving conditions for apparel factory workers requires change at multiple levels. Traditional efforts to improve conditions focus primarily on the factory. FWF, however, believes that the management decisions of the clothing brands have an enormous influence for good or ill on factory conditions.

FWF's Brand Performance Check is a tool to evaluate and report on the activities of FWF's affiliate members. The Checks examine how affiliate management systems support FWF's Code of Labour Practices.

In most apparel supply chains, clothing brands do not own factories, and most factories work for many different brands. This means that in most cases FWF affiliates have influence, but not direct control, over working conditions. As a result, the Brand Performance Checks focus primarily on verifying the efforts of affiliates. Outcomes at the factory level are assessed via audits and complaint reports, however the complexity of the supply chains means that even the best efforts of FWF affiliates cannot guarantee results.

Even if outcomes at the factory level cannot be guaranteed, the importance of good management practices by affiliates cannot be understated. Even one concerned customer at a factory can have significant positive impacts on a range of issues like health and safety conditions or freedom of association. And if one customer at a factory can demonstrate that improvements are possible, other customers no longer have an excuse not to act. The development and sharing of these types of best practices has long been a core part of FWF's work.

Improvement of supply chains is a step-by-step process, through which affiliates must address many different issues. FWF affiliates vary greatly in management structures, and have different strengths. The Performance Benchmarking system is designed to reflect these differences, and the many different ways that a company can support better working conditions.

During the Brand Performance Check, FWF staff speak to various employees at the affiliate who have important roles to play in the management of supply chains. FWF verifies the actions of affiliates based on several sources including documentation of activities, financial records, the affiliate's supplier register and staff interviews. Following the Brand Performance Check, FWF summarizes findings in this report, which is made public via www.fairwear.org. The <u>Brand Performance Check Guide</u> provides more information about the indicators and is available for download.

#### BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK OVERVIEW

Schöffel Sportbekleidung GmbH

Evaluation Period: 01-01-2013 to 31-12-2013

AFFILIATE INFORMATION	
Headquarters:	Schwabmünchen, Germany
Member since:	08-02-2011
Product types:	Sportswear, Outdoor, Workwear
Production in countries where FWF is active:	Bulgaria, China, Italy, Romania, Turkey, Viet Nam
Production in other countries:	Germany, Indonesia, Latvia, Serbia
BASIC REQUIREMENTS	
Workplan for this evaluation period was submitted?	Yes
Actual supplier register for this evaluation period has been submitted?	Yes
Membership fee has been paid?	Yes
All suppliers have been notified of FWF membership?	Yes
SCORING OVERVIEW	
% of own production under monitoring	93%
Benchmarking score	62
Category	Good

#### Summary:

Schöffel meets most of FWF's management system requirements. 93% of its 2013 production volume has been audited, trained or is located in low-risk countries, meeting the 90% threshold for brands in the third year of membership.

In 2013 several changes happened simultaneously at Schöffel which have affected implementation of some FWF requirements regarding purchasing practices, monitoring and remediation. There have been some changes in staff in the CSR and purchasing departments, and a major production site stopped cooperation with the company. This resulted in the additional of several new production sites to Schöffel's supplier base.

Following these changes, Schöffel has demonstrated solid progress in the last months of the past financial year, and appears to be on track for more stable supplier relationships and internal processes in 2014.

### PERFORMANCE CATEGORY OVERVIEW

Leader: This category is for affiliates who are doing exceptionally well, and are operating at an advanced level.

Good: It is FWF's belief that affiliates who are making a serious effort to implement the Code of Labour Practices—the vast majority of FWF affiliates—are 'doing good' and deserve to be recognized as such. They are also doing more than the average clothing company, and have allowed their internal processes to be examined and publicly reported on by an independent NGO. The majority of affiliates will receive a 'Good' rating.

Needs Improvement: Affiliates are most likely to find themselves in this category when major unexpected problems have arisen, or if they are unable or unwilling to seriously work towards CoLP implementation. Affiliates may be in this category for one year only after which they should either move up to Good, or will be moved to suspended.

Suspended: Affiliates who either fail to meet one of the Basic Requirements, have had major internal changes which means membership must be put on hold for a maximum of one year, or have been in Needs Improvement for more than one year. Affiliates may remain in this category for one year maximum, after which termination proceedings will come into force.

Categories are calculated based on a combination of benchmarking score and the percentage of own production under monitoring. The specific requirements for each category are outlined in the Brand Performance Check Guide.

#### 1. PURCHASING PRACTICES

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.1 Percentage of production volume from suppliers where affiliate buys at least 10% of production capacity	63%	Affiliates with less than 10% of a factories' production capacity generally have limited influence on factory managers to make changes.	Supplier information provided by affiliate.	3	4	0
1.2 Percentage of production volume from suppliers where a business relationship has existed for at least five years	97%	Stable business relationships support most aspects of the Code of Labour Practices, and give factories a reason to invest in improving working conditions.	Supplier information provided by affiliate.	4	4	0

Comment: Schöffel has relationships with suppliers which go up to cooperation since 1968. Changes in the supplier base have not influenced this indicator in 2013 yet.

1.3 All new suppliers are required to sign and return the Code of Labour Practices before	Yes	The CoLP is the foundation of all work between factories and brands, and the first	Signed CoLPs are on file.	2	2	0
first orders are placed.		step in developing a commitment to improvements.				

Requirement: FWF requires Schöffel to ensure that production sites sign the Code of Labour Practice before first orders are placed instead of agents/intermediaries only.

Recommendation: FWF appreciates the development of the new Schöffel database and recommends to ensure clarity of when orders can be placed which is after having all signatures of new supliers in hand.

Comment: Schöffel has sent all new suppliers the Code of Labour Practices. The signatures have also been returned but some are including the signature of the agent/intermediary only and not the production site. Whereas signatures have to be in-house before production starts, test orders at possible new suppliers are placed already before. The CSR and purchasing team work closely together to ensure that all information is in hand before first orders are placed, however there is no formal system yet in place (which will be in 2014 due to a new Schöffel database).

1.4 Company conducts human rights due diligence at all new suppliers before placing orders.  Due diligence helps to identify, prevent mitigate potential human rights probler new suppliers.	9	4	0	
--	---	---	---	--

Comment: Before start of production at a new supplier, the factory is visited by Schöffel and a small test order is placed. The test oder is evaluated, among others, with regard to social standards. The travelling staff from Schöffel uses the FWF Basic Health and Safety Checklist and reports in detail to the CSR team. New suppliers are invited to the headquarter before production starts. This is to ensure that the supplier knows all relevant Schöffel staff face-to-face. Among other topics, CoLP are discussed with the suppliers.

1.5 Supplier compliance with Code of Labour Practices is evaluated in a systematic manner.	No	A systemic approach is required to integrate social compliance into normal business processes, and supports good decisionmaking.	Documentation of systemic approach: rating systems, checklists, databases.	)	2	0	
			checklists, databases, etc.				

Requirement: A systematic approach is required to integrate social compliance into normal business processes, and supports good decision-making. The approach needs to ensure that the affiliate consistently evaluates the entire supplier base and includes information into decision-making procedures.

Comment: Schöffel has a very basic evaluation document which is not used in practice. There is no formal evaluation meeting.

1.6 The affiliate's production planning systems support reasonable working hours.	Strong, integrated systems in place.	Affiliate production planning systems can have a significant impact on the levels of excessive overtime at factories.	Documentation of robust planning systems.	4	4	0	
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--

Comment: Production time is calculated together with the supplier including extra time for e.g. development of the product, delivery time for fabric, production and transportation time. The suppliers need to sign and agree to delivery dates with the final order. In case production gets delayed, Schöffel considers flying in the goods instead of pushing the supplier to finalise the production in time. Orders for NOS (never out of stock) articles are partly placed during low production season.

1.7 Degree to which affiliate mitigates root causes of excessive overtime.	Intermediate efforts	Some production delays are outside of the control of affiliates; however there are a number of steps that can be taken to address production delays without resorting to excessive overtime.	Documentation of root cause analysis and positive steps taken to manage production delays or improve factory processes.	3	6	0	
--	-------------------------	--	---	---	---	---	--

Recommendation: Schöffel is recommended to analyse root causes at the company and factory level for overtime at the production sites.

Comment: If overtime is found in the audit reports, a follow up process is agreed upon with the supplier. In 2013, a worker complained through FWF's complaint system about overtime. The brand has helped to buy new machinery which now give the opportunity to employ more staff and hence reduce overtime of all workers. One of the supplier reported that the brands sourcing practices lead to overtime.

1.8 Affiliate's pricing policy allows for payment of at least the legal minimum wages in production countries.	No policy in place	The first step towards ensuring the payment of minimum wages - and towards implementation of living wages - is to know the labour costs of garments.	Formal systems to calculate labour costs on per-product or country/city level.	0	4	0	
--	--------------------	--	--	---	---	---	--

Requirement: The affiliate needs to develop a pricing policy where the affiliate knows the labour cost of garments and which allows the payment of at least legal minimum wages in production countries.

Recommendation: FWF recommends to use the already developed costing sheets in more detail and practice to ensure that product price calculations allow for payment of at least the legal minimum wages in the production countries. FWF wage ladders from audit reports can be used as a basis for calculations.

Comment: Schöffel uses a costing sheet for some products which are filled in by suppliers. These costing sheets are however not all fully filled in and the part about wages often includes overhead costs which do not show the real production price per piece. Further there is no check whether the wage calculations match payments of at least minimum wages at the production sites.

1.9 Affiliate actively responds if suppliers fail to pay legal minimum wages.	No  If a supplier fails to pay minimum wage, FWF affiliates are expected to hold management of the supplier accountable for respecting local labour law.	Complaint reports, CAPs, additional emails, FWF audit reports or other documents that show minimum wage issue is reported/resolved.	-2	2	-2	
---	--	---	----	---	----	--

Requirement: If a supplier fails to pay minimum wages, FWF affiliates are expected to hold management of the supplier accountable for respecting local labour law and require a time bound action plan to ensure adequate payment.

Comment: During one audit in 2013 in Vietnam, the team found payments below minimum wages. This audit was shared with another FWF affiliate who took the lead. Schöffel did not follow up on improving wages together with the other FWF affiliate due to missing staff capacity at the time of the audit.

1.10 Evidence of late payments to suppliers by affiliate.	No  Late payments to suppliers can have a negative impact on factories and their ability to pay workers on time. Most garment workers have minimal savings, and even a brief delay in payments can cause serious problems.	Based on a complaint or audit report; review of factory and affiliate financial documents.	0	0	-1	
---	--	--	---	---	----	--

living wages.	1.11 Degree to which affiliate assesses root causes of wages lower than living wages with suppliers and takes steps towards the implementation of living wages.	Basic approach	Sustained progress towards living wages requires adjustments to affiliates' policies.	Documentation of policy assessments and/or concrete progress towards	2	8	0
---------------	---	-------------------	---	--	---	---	---

Requirement: Affiliate is expected to take an active role in discussing living wages with its suppliers. The FWF wage ladder can be used as a tool to implement living wages. Most relevant wage estimates, such as local minimum wage, Asia Floor Wage, collective bargaining wage and industrial best practice wages are provided in the wage ladder. The wage ladder is included in FWF's audit reports. It demonstrates the gaps between workers' wages at a factory and living wages demanded by major stakeholders. The wage ladder can be used to document, monitor, negotiate and evaluate the improvements at its suppliers.

Comment: Schöffel conciders affiliates' monitoring system should identify and address high risk issues that are specific to the affiliates' sourcing practices. FWF provides policies and country-specific requirements to affiliates. Priorities in remediation efforts are guided by these policies.

1.12 Affiliate sources from an FWF factory member.	No	When possible, FWF encourages affiliates to source from FWF factory members. On account of the small number of factories this is a 'bonus' indicator. Extra points are possible, but the indicator will not negatively affect an affiliate's score.	Supplier information provided by affiliate.	N/A	1	0
1.13 Percentage of production volume from factories owned by the affiliate.	None	Owning a supplier increases the accountability and reduces the risk of unexpected CoLP violations. Given these advantages, this is a bonus indicator. Extra points are possible, but the indicator will not negatively affect an affiliate's score.	Supplier information provided by affiliate.	N/A	2	0

### PURCHASING PRACTICES

Possible Points: 40

### 2. MONITORING AND REMEDIATION

BASIC MEASUREMENTS	RESULT	COMMENTS
% of own production under standard monitoring (excluding low-risk countries)	87%	
% of own production in low risk production countries where FWF's Low Risk policy has been implemented	6%	FWF low risk policy should be implemented. 0 = policy is not implemented correctly. N/A = no production in low risk countries.
Total of own production under monitoring	93%	Minimums: 1 year: 40%; 2 years 60%; 3 years+: 90% Measured as a percentage of turnover.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.1 Specific staff person is designated to follow up on problems identified by monitoring system	Yes	Followup is a serious part of FWF membership, and cannot be successfully managed on an ad-hoc basis.	Manuals, emails, etc., demonstrating who the designated staff person is.	2	2	-2

Comment: Schöffel has staff designated to follow up on problems identified in the monitoring system. There have been lots of staff changes in the past financial year which lead to inconsistencies in follow up especially during summer 2013.

2.2 Degree of progress towards resolution of existing Corrective Action Plans  Intermed	FWF considers efforts to resolve CAPs to be one of the most important things that affiliates can do towards improving working conditions.	Documentation of remediation and followup actions taken by affiliate.	4	8	-2	
---	---	---	---	---	----	--

Recommendation: Besides doing audits once in 3 years, the affiliate could consider:

- Hire local consultant to assist factory in developing an action plan and to assist factory management in investigating root causes.
- Organise supplier seminars
- Provide factory training.
- Share knowledge/material.

Comment: Implementation of CAPs are done indirect via emails, phone calls, etc. and direct communication during factory visits. The CSR team is supported by travelling colleagues who are well instructed before leaving and bring pictures and reports as evidence for CAP follow up when coming back.

2.3 Percentage of production volume from suppliers that have been visited by the affiliate in the past financial year	Formal audits should be augmented by annual visits by affiliate staff or local representatives. They reinforce to factory managers that affiliates are serious about implementing the Code of Labour Practices.		4	4	0	
---	---	--	---	---	---	--

Comment: Production sites are regularly visited by different Schöffel staff.

2.4 Existing audit reports from other sources are collected.  Ues, quality assessed and corrective actions implemented  Existing reports form a basis for understa the issues and strengths of a supplier, an reduces duplicative work.	•	0
---	---	---

Comment: Existing audit reports are collected, quality assessed and findings followed up with the production sites.

2.5 Audit Report and Corrective Action Plan (CAP) findings are shared with factory. Improvement timelines are established in a timely manner	Yes	FWF audit reports should be shared and discussed with suppliers within two months of audit receipt. Timely sharing of information and agreement on corrective actions is essential for improvement. A reasonable time frame should be specified for resolving findings.	Corrective Action Plans, emails; findings of followup audits; brand representative present during audit exit meeting, etc.	2	2	-1
--	-----	---	--	---	---	----

2.6 High risk issues specific to the affiliate's supply chain are identified and addressed by the monitoring system.  Insufficient Capacity  Different countries and products have different risks associated with them; monitoring systems should be adapated to allow appropriate human rights due diligence for the specific risks in each affiliates' supply chain.  Documentation may take many forms; additional research, specific FWF project participation; extra monitoring activities, extra mitigation activities, etc.	6 0
---	-----

Requirement: Affiliates' monitoring system should identify and address high risk issues that are specific to the affiliates' sourcing practices. FWF provides policies and country-specific requirements to affiliates. Priorities in remediation efforts are guided by these policies.

Recommendation: FWF suggests that the affiliate analyses whether findings from a factory audit could occur at other suppliers as well. This will lead to a preventive approach where issues are addressed in a systematic manner. The analysis should focus on own brand practices as well as regional or country specific issues (such as fire safety or gender discrimination).

2.7 Affiliate cooperates with other customers in resolving corrective actions at shared suppliers	Active cooperation	Cooperation between customers increases leverage and chances of successful outcomes. Cooperation also reduces the changes of a factory having to conduct multiple Corrective Action Plans about the same issue with multiple customers.	Shared CAPs, evidence of cooperation with other customers.	2	2	-1	
---	-----------------------	---	--	---	---	----	--

Comment: Since the end of the year 2013, Schöffel shares actively audits and CAPs with other customers no matter whether they are FWF member or not. Typically one of the brands takes initiative in coordinating the CAP follow up process and keeps the others informed.

2.8 Monitoring requirements are fulfilled for production in low-risk countries	Yes	Low risk countries are determined by the presence and proper functioning of institutions which can guarantee compliance with basic standards.	Documentation of visits, notification of suppliers of FWF membership; posting of worker information sheets, completed questionnaires.	2	2	0
2.9 External brands resold by the affiliate who have completed and returned the external brand questionnaire. (% of external sales volume)	No external brands resold	FWF believes it is important for affiliates that have a retail/wholesale arm to at least know if the brands they resell are members of FWF or a similar organisation, and in which countries those brands produce goods.	Questionnaires are on file.	N/A	3	0
2.10 External brands resold by affiliates that are members of another credible initiative. (% of external sales volume)	No external brands resold	FWF believes affiliates who resell products should be rewarded for choosing to stock external brands who also take their supply chain responsibilities seriously.	Supplier register; Documentation of sales volumes of products made by FWF or FLA members.	N/A	3	0

### MONITORING AND REMEDIATION

Possible Points: 29

### 3. COMPLAINTS HANDLING

BASIC MEASUREMENTS	RESULT	COMMENTS
Number of worker complaints received since last check	1	At this point, FWF considers a high number of complaints as a positive indicator, as it shows that workers are aware of and making use of the complaints system.
Number of worker complaints in process of being resolved	0	
Number of worker complaints resolved since last check	1	

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.1 A specific employee has been designated to address worker complaints	Yes	Followup is a serious part of FWF membership, and cannot be successfully managed on an ad-hoc basis.	Manuals, emails, etc., demonstrating who the designated staff person is.	1	1	-1

Comment: Schöffel has a designated person to follow up complaints. The procedure to follow up complaints exists in written. Schoeffel has a policy and strategy to follow in case of complaints.

3.2 System exists to check that the Worker Information Sheet is posted in factories	Yes	The Worker Information Sheet is a key first step in alerting workers to their rights.	Photos by company staff, audit reports, checklists from factory visits, etc.	2	2	0
---	-----	---	--	---	---	---

Comment: Every supplier has to fill in a form where the code was posted, who has been informed on the code and pictures need to be included showing that the code is hung up at a place visible and readable for the workers.

3.3 Percentage of FWF-audited factories where at least half of workers are aware of the FWF worker helpline.	100%	The FWF complaints procedure is a crucial element of verification. If factory-based complaint systems do not exist or do not work, the FWF worker helpline allows workers to ask questions about their rights and file complaints. Factory participation in the Workplace Education Programme also count towards this indicator.	Percentage of audited factories where at least 50% of interviewed workers indicate awareness of the FWF complaints mechanism + percentage of factories in WEP programme.	4	4	-2
--	------	--	--	---	---	----

Comment: FWF conducted three audits in factories in 2013 where production for Schöffel takes place. The audits concluded that the workers are aware of the FWF worker helpline.

3.4 All complaints received from factory workers are addressed in accordance with the FWF Complaints Procedure	Yes	Providing access to remedy when problems arise is a key element of responsible supply chain management. Affiliate involvement is often essential to resolving issues.	Documentation that affiliate has completed all required steps in the complaints handling process.	3	6	-2	
--	-----	---	---	---	---	----	--

**Recommendation**: Schöffel is recommended to take learnings from one complaint at a factory as a base for preventive steps at other factories.

Comment: One complaint at a factory shared with another FWF affiliate has been solved in 2013. Preventive steps for this factory have been taken. The complaint has been about overtime with some workers due to missing machinery to employ more staff. The brands have helped to purchase new machinery which allows to recruit more staff and to reduce overtime with the existing staff.

in resolving a complaint at a supplier complaint data etc	a	.5 Cooperation with other customers in ddressing worker complaints at shared uppliers	Active cooperation	Because most factories supply several customers with products, involvement of other customers by the FWF affiliate can be critical in resolving a complaint at a supplier.	Documentation of joint efforts, e.g. emails, sharing of complaint data, etc.	2	2	-2	
---	---	---	-----------------------	--	--	---	---	----	--

Comment: The complaint which has been resolved in 2013 was at a shared supplier. Schöffel has actively cooperated with the other FWF affiliate to solve the complaint.

### COMPLAINTS HANDLING

Possible Points: 15

### 4. TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.1 All staff is made aware of FWF membership requirements	Yes	Preventing and remediating problems often requires the involvement of many different departments; making all staff aware of FWF membership requirements helps to support cross-departmental collaboration when needed.	Emails, trainings, presentation, newsletters, etc.	1	1	-1

Comment: Regular updates on FWF issues are given to specific departments. All new Schöffel employees are given a FWF introduction.

4.2 Ongoing training in support of FWF requirements is provided to staff in direct contact with suppliers.	Yes	Sourcing, purchasing and CSR staff at a minimum should possess the knowledge necessary to implement FWF requirements and advocate for change within their organisations.	FWF Seminars or equivalent trainings provided; presentations, curricula, etc.	2	2	0	
		organioaciono.	001110000, 000.				

Comment: Briefings take place on a regular basis with staff in direct contact with suppliers. Audit reports are shared immediately with all staff who are in contact with the supplier to decide on a common strategy for communication towards the supplier.

4.3 All sourcing contractors/agents are informed about FWF's Code of Labour Practices.	Yes + actively support COLP	Agents have the potential to either support or disrupt CoLP implementation. It is the responsibility of affiliate to ensure agents actively support the implementation of the CoLP.	Correspondence with agents, trainings for agents, FWF audit findings.	2	2	-2	
--	-----------------------------------	---	---	---	---	----	--

Comment: Agents have been briefed about FWF and also sign the Code of Labour Practice. Agents are met regularly in-house and during fairs. Follow up of CAPs is done together.

4.4 Factory participation in Workplace Education Programme (where WEP is offered; by production volume)  Lack of knowledge and skills on best practices related to labour standards is acommon issue in factories. Good quality training of workers and managers is a key step towards sustainable improvements.  Documentation of related to labour standards is acommon issue in factories. Workplace Education Programme.
--

**Recommendation**: The affiliate could use the experience of the WEP trainings done in other factories to motivate other suppliers to enrol in the WEP trainings.

4.5 Factory participation in trainings (where WEP is not offered; by production volume)	Programme is not yet offered, affiliates may arrange trainings on their own or work with other training-partners. Trainings must meet	Curricula, other documentation of training content, participation and outcomes.	0	4	0	
---	---	---	---	---	---	--

Recommendation: Whenever the FWF affiliate contacts a new supplier, this new supplier must also be informed on the implications of FWF membership. Next to that all factory workers should be informed about the labour standards and the process of monitoring and remediation. In order to further communication between employers and workers in the workplace FWF recommends affiliates to arrange trainings on their own in areas where the Workplace Education Programme is not yet offered.

Trainings must meet FWF quality standards to receive credit for this indicator: top management, supervisors and workers should be included in the trainings, separately. Workplace standards and dispute handling should be included in the training. At least 10-20% of the workforce must be trained, depending on the size of the factory. Worker participations should be balanced and representative.

### TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING

Possible Points: 15

### 5. INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
5.1 Level of effort to identify all production locations and update supplier information.	Intermediate	Any improvements to supply chains require affiliates to first know all of their suppliers and production locations.	Supplier information provided by affiliate. Financial records of previous financial year. Documented efforts by affiliate to update supplier information from its monitoring activities.	3	6	-2

Requirement: The supplier register of the previous financial year has to be complete and accurate; production locations of all suppliers must be listed, including subcontractors.

Comment: In 2013 many new suppliers have been added. During 2013's audits and FWF database development some subcontractors have been found producing for Schöffel which have not been included in the supplier register.

5.2 A system exists to allow purchasing, CSR and other relevant staff to share information with each other about working conditions at suppliers	Yes	CSR, purchasing and other staff who interact with suppliers need to be able to share information in order to establish a coherent and effective strategy for improvements.	Internal information system; status CAPs, reports of meetings of purchasing/CSR; systematic way of storing information.	1	1	-1	
--	-----	--	---	---	---	----	--

Comment: All interviewed Schöffel staff were well informed. Additional store checks showed that personal in Schöffel stores know about FWF membership and can guide the interested customer to information in e.g. catalogues, shop displays or internet.

### INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

Possible Points: 7

### 6. TRANSPARENCY

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
6.1 Communication about FWF membership adheres to the FWF communications policy	Yes	FWF membership should be communicated in a clear and accurate manner. FWF guidelines are designed to prevent misleading claims.	Logo is placed on website; other communications in line with policy. Affiliates may lose points if there is evidence that they did not comply with the communications policy.	1	1	-2

Comment: Aside from information on the website and the catalogue, FWF information is also included in displays in the show rooms, in catalogues and in the product information guideline for sales staff. Schöffel is also eager to use FWF on-garment communication (when allowed).

6.2 Affiliate engages in advanced reporting activities	No	Good reporting by members helps to ensure the transparency of FWF's work and shares best practices with the industry.	Affiliate publishes one or more of the following on their website: Brand Performance Check, Audit Reports, Supplier List.	0	1	0
--	----	---	---	---	---	---

Recommendation: FWF recommends the affiliate to publish one or more of the following reports on its website: Brand performance check, Audit Reports, suppliers register. Good reporting by members helps to ensure the transparency of the affiliate and FWF's work.

6.3 Social Report is submitted to FWF and is	Published on	The Social Report is an important tool for	Report adheres to	2	2	-2
published on affiliate's website	affiliate's	brands to transparently share their efforts with	FWF guidelines for			
	website	stakeholders.	Social Report content.			

Comment: The website displays the most recent social report which has also been submitted to FWF in time.

### TRANSPARENCY

Possible Points: 4

### 7. EVALUATION

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
7.1 Systemic annual evaluation of FWF membership is conducted with involvement of top management	Yes	An annual evaluation involving top management ensures that FWF policies are integrated into the structure of the company.	Meeting minutes, verbal reporting, Powerpoints, etc.	2	2	0

Comment: Schöffel meets annually with all department managers and head of the company. FWF is an integrated part of the annual meeting. Monthly jour-fix meetings take place with head of departments to talk about FWF membership and social compliance.

7.2 Percentage of required changes from previous Brand Performance Check implemented by affiliate	No requirements were included in previous Check	In each Brand Performance Check report, FWF may include requirements for changes to management practices. Adherence to these requirements is an important part of FWF membership.	Affiliate should show documentation related to the specific requirements made in the previous Brand Performance Check.	N/A	8	-4	
---	--	---	--	-----	---	----	--

### **EVALUATION**

Possible Points: 2

### RECOMMENDATIONS TO FWF

- 1. Due to some production sites in Vietnam, Schöffel would appreciate FWF WEP trainings also in Vietnam.
- 2. To ensure good implementation of FWF requirements at Schöffel, the brand would like to meet in person with the FWF case manager every half year to update each other and to discuss further development possibilities.

### SCORING OVERVIEW

CATEGORY	EARNED	POSSIBLE
Purchasing Practices	20	40
Monitoring and Remediation	19	29
Complaints Handling	12	15
Training and Capacity Building	9	15
Information Management	4	7
Transparency	3	4
Evaluation	2	2
Totals:	69	112

### BENCHMARKING SCORE (EARNED POINTS + POSSIBLE POINTS)

62

### PERFORMANCE BENCHMARKING CATEGORY

Good

#### BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK DETAILS

#### Date of Brand Performance Check:

23-04-2014

#### Conducted by:

Stefanie Santila Karl

#### Interviews with:

Marco Tenace: Head of Quality and CSR

Katrin Klug: Team Quality, CSR

Peter Schöffel: CEO

Georg Kaiser: Division Manager Production/Logistics

Gabi Gorkos: Head of Purchasing Department

Anette Braun: Sourcing Manager Corinna Umbach: Director Marketing

#### Audit Summary:

Publication of the audit summary section previously included in Brand Performance Checks has been suspended while Fair Wear Foundation develops a new information system to manage and summarize the data. Future Brand Performance Checks will include improved usability and transparency for audit data.