



BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK

Anchor Workwear BV

this report covers the evaluation period 01-01-2016 to 31-12-2016

ABOUT THE BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK

Fair Wear Foundation believes that improving conditions for apparel product location workers requires change at many levels. Traditional efforts to improve conditions focus primarily on the product location. FWF, however, believes that the management decisions of clothing brands have an enormous influence for good or ill on product location conditions.

FWF's Brand Performance Check is a tool to evaluate and report on the activities of FWF's member companies. The Checks examine how member company management systems support FWF's Code of Labour Practices. They evaluate the parts of member company supply chains where clothing is assembled. This is the most labour intensive part of garment supply chains, and where brands can have the most influence over working conditions.

In most apparel supply chains, clothing brands do not own product locations, and most product locations work for many different brands. This means that in most cases FWF member companies have influence, but not direct control, over working conditions. As a result, the Brand Performance Checks focus primarily on verifying the efforts of member companies. Outcomes at the product location level are assessed via audits and complaint reports, however the complexity of the supply chains means that even the best efforts of FWF member companies cannot guarantee results.

Even if outcomes at the product location level cannot be guaranteed, the importance of good management practices by member companies cannot be understated. Even one concerned customer at a product location can have significant positive impacts on a range of issues like health and safety conditions or freedom of association. And if one customer at a product location can demonstrate that improvements are possible, other customers no longer have an excuse not to act. The development and sharing of these types of best practices has long been a core part of FWF's work.

The Brand Performance Check system is designed to accommodate the range of structures and strengths that different companies have, and reflects the different ways that brands can support better working conditions.

This report is based on interviews with member company employees who play important roles in the management of supply chains, and a variety of documentation sources, financial records, supplier data. The findings from the Brand Performance Check are summarized and published at www.fairwear.org. The online [Brand Performance Check Guide](#) provides more information about the indicators.

BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK OVERVIEW

Anchor Workwear BV

Evaluation Period: 01-01-2016 to 31-12-2016

MEMBER COMPANY INFORMATION	
Headquarters:	Bergen op Zoom, Netherlands
Member since:	01-07-2013
Product types:	Workwear
Production in countries where FWF is active:	Bulgaria, China, Viet Nam
Production in other countries:	Netherlands, Poland, Portugal
BASIC REQUIREMENTS	
Workplan and projected production location data for upcoming year have been submitted?	Yes
Actual production location data for evaluation period was submitted?	Yes
Membership fee has been paid?	Yes
SCORING OVERVIEW	
% of own production under monitoring	94%
Benchmarking score	74
Category	Good

Summary:

Anchor Workwear has shown advanced results on performance indicators and has made exceptional progress. Anchor Workwear has monitored 94% of its purchasing volume, thereby meeting the required threshold of 90% for the third year of membership. Therefore Anchor Workwear is awarded the 'Good' category.

In 2016, Anchor Workwear invested in a Workplace Education Programme training session at one of its factories to raise knowledge and skills among workers and management on best practices related to labour standards. They also worked towards reducing their smaller suppliers in order to further consolidate their supply chain.

Whilst Anchor Workwear regularly visits its production location and is in constant communication with factory management, FWF recommends integrated follow-up systems, as well as seeking out further discussions with local trade unions and workers at factories in order to start tackling advanced topics such as living wages and social dialogue.

In the next financial year, FWF recommends Anchor Workwear to further consolidate its supply chain. Working with a smaller supplier base, with which it has more leverage, can increase the influence Anchor Workwear has on implementing required changes at factories.

PERFORMANCE CATEGORY OVERVIEW

Leader: This category is for member companies who are doing exceptionally well, and are operating at an advanced level. Leaders show best practices in complex areas such as living wages and freedom of association.

Good: It is FWF's belief that member companies who are making a serious effort to implement the Code of Labour Practices—the vast majority of FWF member companies—are 'doing good' and deserve to be recognized as such. They are also doing more than the average clothing company, and have allowed their internal processes to be examined and publicly reported on by an independent NGO. The majority of member companies will receive a 'Good' rating.

Needs Improvement: Member companies are most likely to find themselves in this category when major unexpected problems have arisen, or if they are unable or unwilling to seriously work towards CoLP implementation. Member companies may be in this category for one year only after which they should either move up to Good, or will be moved to suspended.

Suspended: Member companies who either fail to meet one of the Basic Requirements, have had major internal changes which means membership must be put on hold for a maximum of one year, or have been in Needs Improvement for more than one year. Member companies may remain in this category for one year maximum, after which termination proceedings will come into force.

Categories are calculated based on a combination of benchmarking score and the percentage of own production under monitoring. The specific requirements for each category are outlined in the Brand Performance Check Guide.

1. PURCHASING PRACTICES

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.1a Percentage of production volume from production locations where member company buys at least 10% of production capacity.	90%	Member companies with less than 10% of a production location's production capacity generally have limited influence on production location managers to make changes.	Supplier information provided by member company.	4	4	0

Comment: Anchor Workwear has long term relationships and more than 10% of production capacity with its main suppliers. It has very strong relationships with three of its main suppliers, which gives them significant leverage to improve labour standards.

Anchor Workwear has now stopped working with several new suppliers and started building on more long term relationships with the aim of consolidating and strengthening its supplier base.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.1b Percentage of production volume from production locations where member company buys less than 2% of its total FOB.	7%	FWF provides incentives to clothing brands to consolidate their supplier base, especially at the tail end, as much as possible, and rewards those members who have a small tail end. Shortening the tail end reduces social compliance risks and enhances the impact of efficient use of capital and remediation efforts.	Production location information as provided to FWF.	3	4	0

Recommendation: FWF recommends Anchor Workwear to consolidate more of its suppliers base by limiting the number of suppliers at which it buys less than 2% of its total FOB. This will increase Anchor Workwear's influence in improving working conditions in a more efficient and effective way.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.2 Percentage of production volume from production locations where a business relationship has existed for at least five years.	65%	Stable business relationships support most aspects of the Code of Labour Practices, and give production locations a reason to invest in improving working conditions.	Supplier information provided by member company.	3	4	0

Comment: Anchor Workwear has a steady and long term relationship with its main factories.

Recommendation: FWF recommends Anchor Workwear to maintain stable business relationships with suppliers. Long term relationships support most aspects of the Code of Labour Practices, and give factories a reason to invest in improving working conditions.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.3 All new production locations are required to sign and return the questionnaire with the Code of Labour Practices before first bulk orders are placed.	No new production locations added in past financial year	The CoLP is the foundation of all work between production locations and brands, and the first step in developing a commitment to improvements.	Signed CoLPs are on file.	N/A	2	0

Comment: Anchor Workwear did not start working with any new suppliers in 2016.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.4 Member company conducts human rights due diligence at all new production locations before placing orders.	Intermediate	Due diligence helps to identify, prevent and mitigate potential human rights problems at new suppliers.	Documentation may include pre-audits, existing audits, other types of risk assessments.	2	4	0

Comment: Although Anchor Workwear conducts a check before placing orders at new production locations, the labour standards are not part of the decision-making process in a systematic way.

Recommendation: FWF recommends Anchor Workwear to further integrate the assessment of labour standards at potential new suppliers into their decision-making in a systematic way. Anchor Workwear could use the available FWF tools such as FWF's health and safety check as well as use country studies conducted in order to relate country issues to selection of new supplier.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.5 Production location compliance with Code of Labour Practices is evaluated in a systematic manner.	Yes	A systemic approach is required to integrate social compliance into normal business processes, and supports good decisionmaking.	Documentation of systemic approach: rating systems, checklists, databases, etc.	1	2	0

Comment: Anchor Workwear visits major suppliers at least twice a year. It asks about progress on social compliance, as well as discusses with factories about possible points of improvement.

Anchor Workwear owns AAA confection, therefore they are aware of the labour conditions and able to keep track of progress of improvements in the factory. This is similar to the case of Khanh Van in Vietnam.

Although, Anchor Workwear checks for production location's compliance with the Code of Labour Practices they do not have a systematic method of keeping track of the progress. This is especially the case at production locations not owned or under Anchor Workwear's management.

Recommendation: FWF recommends Anchor Workwear to place a systematic check for following up the progress. It can make use of local staff for instance, as a method of regularly checking and asking for documentation.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.6 The member company's production planning systems support reasonable working hours.	General or ad-hoc system.	Member company production planning systems can have a significant impact on the levels of excessive overtime at production locations.	Documentation of robust planning systems.	2	4	0

Comment: Anchor Workwear has regular communication with factories on planning. They know the production capacity of their factories in minutes and know the standard minute per style at two of its major suppliers.

Anchor Workwear is able to plan number of production minutes per suppliers based on the available capacity within the factories. Given higher leverage and long standing relationships at production locations owned by Anchor Workwear they are able to accurately plan orders, taking the production capacity of the respective supplier into account. This however can be better integrated for the other supplier.

Recommendation: FWF recommends to apply the integrated planning system beyond its major suppliers to the tail end suppliers as well.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.7 Degree to which member company mitigates root causes of excessive overtime.	No production problems /delays have been documented.	Some production delays are outside of the control of member companies; however there are a number of steps that can be taken to address production delays without resorting to excessive overtime.	Evidence of how member responds to excessive overtime and strategies that help reduce the risk of excessive overtime, such as: root cause analysis, reports, correspondence with factories, etc.	N/A	6	0

Comment: No excessive overtime took place at FWF audited suppliers. Anchor Workwear has taken sufficient steps to coordinate the planning with suppliers in order to prevent excessive overtime takes place.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.8 Member company's pricing policy allows for payment of at least the legal minimum wages in production countries.	Country-level policy	The first step towards ensuring the payment of minimum wages - and towards implementation of living wages - is to know the labour costs of garments.	Formal systems to calculate labour costs on per-product or country/city level.	2	4	0

Comment: Anchor Workwear is aware of the legal minimum wage levels for main suppliers. They use an open costing system with their Vietnamese supplier that they own, later use this price comparison to pay per minute at other production locations.

Recommendation: FWF recommends for Anchor Workwear to have more in-depth discussions with its smaller suppliers. It should take adequate steps to be more aware of the legal minimum wage levels in their tail end suppliers.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.9 Member company actively responds if suppliers fail to pay legal minimum wages.	No data available	If a supplier fails to pay minimum wage, FWF member companies are expected to hold management of the supplier accountable for respecting local labour law.	Complaint reports, CAPs, additional emails, FWF audit reports or other documents that show minimum wage issue is reported/resolved.	N/A	2	-2

Comment: An FWF audit at one of the factories revealed an issue with the payment of a legal minimum wage of two employees, however Anchor Workwear raised this issue with the factory management, clarifying that it was previously established wage from the previous calendar year that needed adjustment. The issue was now resolved.

Anchor Workwear has shown that they are aware of minimum wage requirements in the countries they operate. Furthermore, they work with factory management and their local visiting staff to track progress of payments.

Recommendation: Anchor Workwear should continue to follow up closely on managements promises to pay legal minimum to all staff of factories where they source from in a systematic way.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.10 Evidence of late payments to suppliers by member company.	No	Late payments to suppliers can have a negative impact on production locations and their ability to pay workers on time. Most garment workers have minimal savings, and even a brief delay in payments can cause serious problems.	Based on a complaint or audit report; review of production location and member company financial documents.	0	0	-1

Comment: Anchor Workwear did not have any late payments issues.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.11 Degree to which member company assesses root causes of wages lower than living wages with suppliers and takes steps towards the implementation of living wages.	Production location level approach	Sustained progress towards living wages requires adjustments to member companies' policies.	Documentation of policy assessments and/or concrete progress towards living wages.	4	8	0

Comment: At its main suppliers, Anchor Workwear pays prices that support wages that are (almost) at a living wage level. At its Vietnamese supplier, it has ensured the raise of wages to a living wage level. In Bulgaria, its main supplier is moving towards a living wage level, but pays wages under the industry average (which is higher than the living wage estimate). Anchor Workwear has taken steps to increase wages, however needs to engage more stakeholders.

Recommendation: FWF encourages Anchor Workwear to discuss with its new suppliers about possibilities to work towards higher benchmarks. Furthermore, Anchor Workwear could involve local trade unions and more of the workers when attempting to determine estimates of a target living wage.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.12 Percentage of production volume from factories owned by the member company (bonus indicator).	65%	Owning a supplier increases the accountability and reduces the risk of unexpected CoLP violations. Given these advantages, this is a bonus indicator. Extra points are possible, but the indicator will not negatively affect an member company's score.	Supplier information provided by member company.	2	2	0

Comment: Anchor Workwear owns one of its production facilities and through family connections has a very direct relationship with the other production facility. This factory was founded with capital from the management of Anchor Workwear and it provides capital for new investments. This has ensured a long term and close relationship that enabled Anchor to successfully improve working conditions.

PURCHASING PRACTICES

Possible Points: 36

Earned Points: 23

2. MONITORING AND REMEDIATION

BASIC MEASUREMENTS	RESULT	COMMENTS
% of own production under standard monitoring (excluding low-risk countries)	89%	
% of production volume where monitoring requirements for low-risk countries are fulfilled	5%	FWF low risk policy should be implemented. 0 = policy is not implemented correctly. N/A = no production in low risk countries.
Meets monitoring requirements for tail-end production locations.	Yes	
Total of own production under monitoring	94%	Minimums: 1 year: 40%; 2 years 60%; 3 years+: 80-100% Measured as a percentage of turnover.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.1 Specific staff person is designated to follow up on problems identified by monitoring system	Yes	Followup is a serious part of FWF membership, and cannot be successfully managed on an ad-hoc basis.	Manuals, emails, etc., demonstrating who the designated staff person is.	2	2	-2

Comment: Anchor Workwear's head of operations and management is in charge of responding and following up on any problems identified within their monitoring system.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.2 Quality of own auditing system meets FWF standards.	Member makes use of FWF audits and/or external audits only	In case FWF teams cannot be used, the member companies' own auditing system must ensure sufficient quality in order for FWF to approve the auditing system.	Information on audit methodology.	N/A	0	-1

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.3 Audit Report and Corrective Action Plan (CAP) findings are shared with factory and worker representation where applicable. Improvement timelines are established in a timely manner.	Yes	2 part indicator: FWF audit reports were shared and discussed with suppliers within two months of audit receipt AND a reasonable time frame was specified for resolving findings.	Corrective Action Plans, emails; findings of followup audits; brand representative present during audit exit meeting, etc.	2	2	-1

Comment: Audit reports and CAP findings were are shared with the factory and progress is monitored during Anchor Workwear's bi-annual factory visits as well as skype and email.

Recommendation: Anchor Workwear should record timelines following the audit team's suggestions in the CAP report. Although they follow up on progress, FWF encourages them to use a more systematic recorded process.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.4 Degree of progress towards resolution of existing Corrective Action Plans and remediation of identified problems.	Intermediate	FWF considers efforts to resolve CAPs to be one of the most important things that member companies can do towards improving working conditions.	CAP-related documentation including status of findings, documentation of remediation and follow up actions taken by member. Reports of quality assessments. Evidence of understanding relevant issues.	6	8	-2

Comment: Anchor Workwear makes use of its local staff as well as regular visits to see the progress of agreed timeline of follow-up and remediation. Discussions were held with factory management, for example when resolving the two workers whose wages had not been raised to the minimum living wage.

Whilst Anchor Workwear follows up on CAPs and remediation, they still need to establish a systematic way to verify progress. Discussions with workers or worker representative groups would provide more information.

Recommendation: FWF recommends Anchor Workwear to facilitate the establishment of a grievance system through worker representative groups at suppliers where it produces.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.5 Percentage of production volume from production locations that have been visited by the member company in the previous financial year.	91%	Formal audits should be augmented by annual visits by member company staff or local representatives. They reinforce to production location managers that member companies are serious about implementing the Code of Labour Practices.	Member companies should document all production location visits with at least the date and name of the visitor.	4	4	0

Comment: Anchor Workwear regularly visits production locations..

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.6 Existing audit reports from other sources are collected.	Yes	Existing reports form a basis for understanding the issues and strengths of a supplier, and reduces duplicative work.	Audit reports are on file; evidence of followup on prior CAPs. Reports of quality assessments.	1	3	0

Comment: Anchor Workwear collected existing audit reports where possible. In some cases reports were not accessible to them due to confidentiality clauses from audit initiator.

Recommendation: FWF recommends Anchor Workwear to assess the quality of audits and establish timelines to implement the found CAPs. FWF encourages the use of the Audit Quality Assessment tool, as a starting off point to assess the audits.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.7 Compliance with FWF risk policies.	None of the specific risk policies apply	Aside from regular monitoring and remediation requirements under FWF membership, countries, specific areas within countries or specific product groups may pose specific risks that require additional steps to address and remediate those risks. FWF requires member companies to be aware of those risks and implement policy requirements as prescribed by FWF.	Policy documents, inspection reports, evidence of cooperation with other customers sourcing at the same factories, reports of meetings with suppliers, reports of additional activities and/or attendance lists as mentioned in policy documents.	N/A	6	-2
Compliance with FWF enhanced monitoring programme Bangladesh	Policies are not relevant to the company's supply chain			N/A	6	-2
Compliance with FWF Myanmar policy	Policies are not relevant to the company's supply chain			N/A	6	-2
Compliance with FWF guidance on abrasive blasting	Policies are not relevant to the company's supply chain			N/A	6	-2

Comment: Whilst Anchor Workwear does not work in the above mentioned high risk counties, they are still aware of the risks in the country's of its major suppliers, namely Vietnam and Bulgaria. In Vietnam, regular challenges include persisting issues with overtime, juvenile workers as well as payments of minimum wages and living wages. Furthermore they are aware of the complications with the establishment of trade unions in Bulgaria.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.8 Member company cooperates with other FWF member companies in resolving corrective actions at shared suppliers.	No CAPs active, no shared production locations or refusal of other company to cooperate	Cooperation between customers increases leverage and chances of successful outcomes. Cooperation also reduces the chances of a factory having to conduct multiple Corrective Action Plans about the same issue with multiple customers.	Shared CAPs, evidence of cooperation with other customers.	N/A	2	-1

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.9 Percentage of production volume where monitoring requirements for low-risk countries are fulfilled.	50-100%	Low-risk countries are determined by the presence and proper functioning of institutions which can guarantee compliance with national and international standards and laws.	Documentation of visits, notification of suppliers of FWF membership; posting of worker information sheets, completed questionnaires.	1	2	0

Comment: Anchor Workwear fulfilled the monitoring requirements for its production volume in low-risk countries. All production sites in low-risk countries were visited; during visits suppliers were informed of FWF membership and completed CoLP questionnaires were returned before production orders were placed; the FWF Worker Information Sheet were also posted in local languages.

Anchor Workwear was aware of risks in areas, however was also aware of subcontracting taking place however did not follow up due to deceased relationships with the supplier after unsatisfactory trial phase.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.10 Extra bonus indicator: in case FWF member company conducts full audits above the minimum required monitoring threshold.	90%+	FWF encourages all of its members to audit/monitor 100% of its production locations and rewards those members who conduct full audits above the minimum required monitoring threshold.	Production location information as provided to FWF and recent Audit Reports.	3	3	0

Comment: Anchor Workwear conducted full audits at all its major suppliers as well as met the monitoring requirements for their tail end requirements.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.11 Questionnaire is sent and information is collected from external brands resold by the member company.	Yes, and member has collected necessary information	FWF believes it is important for affiliates that have a retail/wholesale arm to at least know if the brands they resell are members of FWF or a similar organisation, and in which countries those brands produce goods.	Questionnaires are on file.	2	2	0

Comment: In 2016, Anchor Workwear continued to sell one external brand's products. This external brand had completed and returned the external brand questionnaire

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.12 External brands resold by member companies that are members of another credible initiative (% of external sales volume).	0%	FWF believes members who resell products should be rewarded for choosing to sell external brands who also take their supply chain responsibilities seriously and are open about in which countries they produce goods.	External production data in FWF's information management system. Documentation of sales volumes of products made by FWF or FLA members.	0	3	0

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.13 Questionnaire is sent and information is collected from licensees.	No licensees	FWF believes it is important for member companies to know if the licensee is committed to the implementation of the same labour standards and has a monitoring system in place.	Questionnaires are on file. Contracts with licensees.	N/A	1	0

Comment: Anchor Workwear does not work with any licensees.

MONITORING AND REMEDIATION

Possible Points: 29

Earned Points: 21

3. COMPLAINTS HANDLING

BASIC MEASUREMENTS	RESULT	COMMENTS
Number of worker complaints received since last check	0	At this point, FWF considers a high number of complaints as a positive indicator, as it shows that workers are aware of and making use of the complaints system.
Number of worker complaints in process of being resolved	0	
Number of worker complaints resolved since last check	0	

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.1 A specific employee has been designated to address worker complaints	Yes	Followup is a serious part of FWF membership, and cannot be successfully managed on an ad-hoc basis.	Manuals, emails, etc., demonstrating who the designated staff person is.	1	1	-1

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.2 System is in place to check that the Worker Information Sheet is posted in factories.	Yes	The Worker Information Sheet is a key first step in alerting workers to their rights.	Photos by company staff, audit reports, checklists from production location visits, etc.	2	2	0

Comment: Anchor Workwear could show pictures of the Worker Information sheets posted, however some of the pictures weren't clear where exactly they were posted. Nonetheless, they regularly visit their suppliers as a systematic check.

Recommendation: FWF recommends Anchor Workwear to check that the Worker Information Sheet is posted in a safe and accessible environment for workers.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.3 Percentage of FWF-audited production locations where at least half of workers are aware of the FWF worker helpline.	67%	The FWF complaints procedure is a crucial element of verification. If production location based complaint systems do not exist or do not work, the FWF worker helpline allows workers to ask questions about their rights and file complaints. Production location participation in the Workplace Education Programme also count towards this indicator.	Percentage of audited production locations where at least 50% of interviewed workers indicate awareness of the FWF complaints mechanism + percentage of production locations in WEP programme.	3	4	0

Comment: In 2016, Anchor Workwear's major supplier participated in a WEP training in order to stimulate awareness about the existence and the functioning of FWF's worker hotline.

Recommendation: FWF recommends Anchor Workwear to make use of additional informative materials such as the worker information cards available for download on FWF's website. Anchor Workwear could also train other suppliers in order to increase awareness throughout their supply chain.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.4 All complaints received from production location workers are addressed in accordance with the FWF Complaints Procedure	No complaints received	Providing access to remedy when problems arise is a key element of responsible supply chain management. Member company involvement is often essential to resolving issues.	Documentation that member company has completed all required steps in the complaints handling process.	N/A	6	-2

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.5 Cooperation with other customers in addressing worker complaints at shared suppliers	No complaints or cooperation not possible / necessary	Because most production locations supply several customers with products, involvement of other customers by the FWF member company can be critical in resolving a complaint at a supplier.	Documentation of joint efforts, e.g. emails, sharing of complaint data, etc.	N/A	2	0

COMPLAINTS HANDLING

Possible Points: 7

Earned Points: 6

4. TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.1 All staff at member company are made aware of FWF membership.	Yes	Preventing and remediating problems often requires the involvement of many different departments; making all staff aware of FWF membership requirements helps to support cross-departmental collaboration when needed.	Emails, trainings, presentation, newsletters, etc.	1	1	-1

Comment: Anchor Workwear is a small company where information is easily shared among relevant staff therefore all staff are aware of FWF membership.

In 2016, the staff at Anchor Workwear headquarters received a training from a FWF staff member to increase awareness about FWF membership.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.2 All staff in direct contact with suppliers are informed of FWF requirements.	Yes	Sourcing, purchasing and CSR staff at a minimum should possess the knowledge necessary to implement FWF requirements and advocate for change within their organisations.	FWF Seminars or equivalent trainings provided; presentations, curricula, etc.	2	2	-1

Comment: In addition to an in-house training given in 2016, Anchor Workwear hosts monthly staff meetings where FWF membership is discussed. Anchor Workwear is a small company where information is easily shared among relevant staff, including updates on audit findings, and CAP follow-ups.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.3 All sourcing contractors/agents are informed about FWF's Code of Labour Practices.	Member does not use agents/contractors	Agents have the potential to either support or disrupt CoLP implementation. It is the responsibility of member company to ensure agents actively support the implementation of the CoLP.	Correspondence with agents, trainings for agents, FWF audit findings.	N/A	2	0

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.4 Production location participation in Workplace Education Programme (where WEP is offered; by production volume)	56%	Lack of knowledge and skills on best practices related to labour standards is a common issue in production locations. Good quality training of workers and managers is a key step towards sustainable improvements.	Documentation of relevant trainings; participation in Workplace Education Programme.	6	6	0

Comment: In 2016, one of Anchor Workwear's suppliers participated in a Workplace Education Programme training. This training helped increase awareness and enhance understanding of the relevant labour standards as well as grievance mechanisms amongst workers. This training highlights the importance of a good mechanism for communication between employers and workers in the workplace

Recommendation: Since Anchor Workwear has managed to consolidate their supply chain, and now work with less supplier FWF recommends for them to train more of their suppliers.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.5 Production location participation in trainings (where WEP is not offered; by production volume)	All production is in WEP areas.	In areas where the Workplace Education Programme is not yet offered, member companies may arrange trainings on their own or work with other training-partners. Trainings must meet FWF quality standards to receive credit for this indicator.	Curricula, other documentation of training content, participation and outcomes.	N/A	4	0

TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING

Possible Points: 9

Earned Points: 9

5. INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
5.1 Level of effort to identify all production locations	Intermediate	Any improvements to supply chains require member companies to first know all of their production locations.	Supplier information provided by member company. Financial records of previous financial year. Documented efforts by member company to update supplier information from its monitoring activities.	3	6	-2

Comment: Anchor Workwear was aware of subcontracting taking place one of their main suppliers. They were also informed of subcontracting taking place in one of their lower risk production location where a trial order was placed. Nonetheless, they did not followup in depth about subcontractors in the low risk location due to desceased relationship after the trial phase.

Recommendation: Anchor Workwear should be well aware of subcontracting taking place at production locations. Furthermore, in cases where subcontracting takes place, they should ensure that they are aware of the labour standards at the subcontractor and fulfill FWF-requirements on subcontracting

FWF advises Anchor Workwear to develop a systematic approach to complete the supplier list. Part of the approach can be:

- 1) Automatically include information from audit reports and complaints.
- 2) Business relationships with agents include transparency of production locations.
- 3) Agreements with factories on the use of subcontractors stating clearly that when subcontractors are used, they are included in the monitoring system and information is shared on the subcontracted production process.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
5.2 CSR and other relevant staff actively share information with each other about working conditions at production locations.	Yes	CSR, purchasing and other staff who interact with suppliers need to be able to share information in order to establish a coherent and effective strategy for improvements.	Internal information system; status CAPs, reports of meetings of purchasing/CSR; systematic way of storing information.	1	1	-1

Comment: Information is internally shared with relevant staff through monthly staff meetings.

INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

Possible Points: 7

Earned Points: 4

6. TRANSPARENCY

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
6.1 Degree of member company compliance with FWF Communications Policy.	Minimum communications requirements are met AND no significant problems found	FWF's communications policy exists to ensure transparency for consumers and stakeholders, and to ensure that member communications about FWF are accurate. Members will be held accountable for their own communications as well as the communications behaviour of 3rd-party retailers, resellers and customers.	FWF membership is communicated on member's website; other communications in line with FWF communications policy.	2	2	-3

Comment: Anchor Workwear meets the FWF Communications Policy both on its website as well as external communication where staff use the FWF logo in their email signatures.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
6.2 Member company engages in advanced reporting activities	Published Performance Checks, Audits, and other efforts lead to increased transparency	Good reporting by members helps to ensure the transparency of FWF's work and shares best practices with the industry.	Member company publishes one or more of the following on their website: Brand Performance Check, Audit Reports, Supplier List.	1	2	0

Comment: Anchor Workwear publishes both their Performance Checks on their website for public access.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
6.3 Social Report is submitted to FWF and is published on member company's website	Complete and accurate report published on member's website	The social report is an important tool for members to transparently share their efforts with stakeholders. Member companies should not make any claims in their social report that do not correspond with FWF's communication policy.	Social report that is in line with FWF's communication policy.	2	2	-1

Comment: Anchor Workwear's Annual Social Report was published on their website.

TRANSPARENCY

Possible Points: 6

Earned Points: 5

7. EVALUATION

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
7.1 Systemic annual evaluation of FWF membership is conducted with involvement of top management	Yes	An annual evaluation involving top management ensures that FWF policies are integrated into the structure of the company.	Meeting minutes, verbal reporting, Powerpoints, etc.	2	2	0

Comment: Anchor Workwear is a small company, therefore it can host monthly informal meetings where social compliance is discussed as well as the evaluation of FWF membership.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
7.2 Level of action/progress made on required changes from previous Brand Performance Check implemented by member company.	50%	In each Brand Performance Check report, FWF may include requirements for changes to management practices. Progress on achieving these requirements is an important part of FWF membership and its process approach.	Member company should show documentation related to the specific requirements made in the previous Brand Performance Check.	4	4	-2

Comment: In 2016, Anchor Workwear followed up on the requirement to discuss and resolve the minimum wage issue of two employees at one of their suppliers. Remediation of previous requirement from the last Brand Performance Check are continuously being followed up by local staff at production locations as well as through regular visits to suppliers.

Anchor Workwear ensured that new suppliers signed and returned questionnaires at new supplier as well as the fulfilled the monitoring requirements for their low risk countries

Whilst Anchor Workwear followed up the remediation of previous requirements at most supplier with an exception of production sites where relationships with the suppliers was discontinued.

Recommendation: Anchor Workwear should develop and integrated system to track progress of remediation processes at suppliers. FWF recommends the use of clear timelines to track the changes made at factories, especially at locations owned by member.

EVALUATION

Possible Points: 6

Earned Points: 6

RECOMMENDATIONS TO FWF

Anchor Workwear recommends that FWF cooperates with other social compliance and (environmental) sustainability initiatives to ensure that audits can be recognized by all parties. This is also due to the increasing demand from customers.

SCORING OVERVIEW

CATEGORY	EARNED	POSSIBLE
Purchasing Practices	23	36
Monitoring and Remediation	21	29
Complaints Handling	6	7
Training and Capacity Building	9	9
Information Management	4	7
Transparency	5	6
Evaluation	6	6
Totals:	74	100

BENCHMARKING SCORE (EARNED POINTS DIVIDED BY POSSIBLE POINTS)

74

PERFORMANCE BENCHMARKING CATEGORY

Good

BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK DETAILS

Date of Brand Performance Check:

24-04-2017

Conducted by:

Sandra Gonza; Wilco van Bokhorst

Interviews with:

Ben Huijbers, Management

Lan Jansen, Purchasing